



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Specificity in nitric oxide signalling

Citation for published version:

Umbreen, S, Lubega, J, Cui, B, Pan, Q, Jiang, J & Loake, GJ 2018, 'Specificity in nitric oxide signalling', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, vol. 69, no. 14, pp. 3439-3448. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery184>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1093/jxb/ery184](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery184)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Journal of Experimental Botany

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Specificity in Nitric Oxide Signalling

Saima Umbreen¹, Jibril Lubega¹, Beimi Cui^{2,3}, Qiaona Pan^{2,3}, Jihong Jiang^{2,3} and Gary J. Loake^{1,3,4}

¹Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3BF, UK

²Key Laboratory of Biotechnology for Medicinal Plants, Jiangsu Normal University, 101 Shanghai Road, Xuzhou, P.R. China.

³Jiangsu Normal University - Edinburgh University, Centre for Transformative Biotechnology of Medicinal and Food Plants, Jiangsu Normal University, 101 Shanghai Road, Xuzhou, P.R. China.

⁴**Correspondening author:** Email: gloake@ed.ac.uk, Tel: 0131 650 5332, Fax: 0131 650 5392

Key Words

S-nitrosylation, nitric oxide, NO, redox signalling, free radicals, reactive oxygen species, S-nitrosothiols, plant signalling, plant signal transduction, reactive nitrogen species.

Word Count: 4,585 (without references)

Figures: 3 Figures, both on-line colour. Black and white in print.

Submitted: 6_11_2017

Abstract

Reactive nitrogen species (RNS) and their cognate redox signalling networks pervade almost all facets of plant growth, development, immunity and environmental interactions. The emerging evidence implies that specificity in redox signalling is achieved by a multi-layered molecular framework. This encompasses the production of redox cues in the locale of the given protein target and protein tertiary structures that convey the appropriate local chemical environment to support redox-based, post-translational modifications (PTMs). Nascent nitrosylases have also recently emerged that mediate the formation of redox-based PTMs. Reversal of these redox-based PTMs, rather than their formation, is also a major contributor of signalling specificity. In this context, the activity of S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) reductase and Thioredoxin h5 (Trxh5) are a key feature. Redox signalling specificity is also conveyed by the unique chemistries of individual RNS which is overlaid on the structural constraints imposed by tertiary protein structure in gating access to given redox switches. Finally, the interactions between RNS and ROS (Reactive oxygen species) can also indirectly establish signalling specificity through shaping the formation of appropriate redox cues. It is anticipated that some of these insights might function as primers to initiate their future translation into agricultural, horticultural and industrial biological applications.

Introduction

Reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are central to the regulation of a plethora of cellular processes in plants integral to development (Foreman *et al.*, 2003; Kwon *et al.*, 2012; Homem and Loake, 2013; Kaya *et al.*, 2014; Rodríguez-Ruiz *et al.*, 2017), immunity (Torres *et al.*, 2002) and abiotic stress (Wrzaczek *et al.*, 2013; Vermeirssen *et al.*, 2014; Fancy *et al.*, 2016; Mata-Pérez *et al.*, 2017). Significantly, these small, redox-active molecules are key components of cellular signal transduction networks (del Río *et al.*, 2006; Yun *et al.*, 2012; Skelly and Loake, 2013). However, the molecular details underpinning exactly how signalling specificity is achieved by these small, redox active molecules remains to be fully established. Most of the recent insights in this area have been achieved in mammals, where NO signalling is the subject of intensive investigation due to its links with both health and disease. Thus, in this review we also highlight some of the recent mammalian literature in this area, as a primer for research in plant biology. In a protein-centric signalling pathway, the complementarity of macromolecular shapes largely guides non-covalent ligand binding to an associated receptor. Conversely, RNS predominantly transmit signals via their inherent chemistries, targeting specific atoms of proteins resulting in redox-based, post-translational modifications (PTMs) (Nathan, 2003). Therefore, RNS molecular recognition is conveyed at the atomic rather than the macromolecular level. Herein, we will discuss some of the features of RNS signalling, focusing on NO, which convey specificity onto this pivotal redox-based cue.

NO synthesis in plants

RNS is a collective term used to describe both free radicals with a short half-life and non-radicals, which either serve as oxidizing/reducing agents or become transformed into radicals. RNS include: nitric oxide (NO), peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), S-nitrosothiols (SNOs), higher oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and dinitrosyl-iron complexes. These molecules are largely derived from NO, which in mammals is synthesised via an oxidative mechanism utilizing NO synthase (NOS), which consists of three well characterized isoforms: endothelial (eNOS), neuronal (nNOS) and inducible (iNOS) (Alderton *et al.*, 2001). NO is produced by the

NADPH-dependent oxidation of L-Arginine, producing L-citrulline and NO (Palmer *et al.*, 1988; Knowles and Moncada, 1994; Correa-Aragunde *et al.*, 2013). A NOS has also been reported to function in the green alga, *Ostreococcus tauri* (Foresi *et al.*, 2010). However, a total of 1087 transcriptome sequences of land plants have been interrogated for the presence of canonical NOS sequences but none were found (Jeandroz *et al.*, 2016). Hence, unless plant NOS enzymes are assembled from multi-polypeptides (Mata-Pérez *et al.*, 2017), land plants generate NO by one or more mechanisms distinct from mammals. In this context, Nitrate reductase (NR) is a key enzyme for NO production in plants and NR also facilitates NO homeostasis (Chamizo-Ampudia *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, various other sources for NO production have been proposed in higher plants including: a NOS-like activity, xanthine oxidoreductase and apolyamine/hydroxylamine-mediated NO synthesis. Thus, the generation of NO still remains to be fully established in higher plants (Durner *et al.*, 1998; Stöhr and Stremlau, 2006; Stoimenova *et al.*, 2007; Gupta *et al.*, 2011; Yu *et al.*, 2014).

In order to acknowledge the biological significance of NO, in vivo synthesis of S-nitrosothiols (SNO) must be known. Multiple in vitro studies have highlighted various mechanisms for SNO formation. NO as a free radical (NO^\bullet) can lose or gain electrons to generate oxidized nitrosonium cation (NO^+) or a reduced nitroxyl anion (NO^-) or NO can react with superoxide (O_2^\bullet) and oxygen (O_2) to generate peroxynitrite (ONOO^-) and NO oxides ($\text{N}_2\text{O}_3/\text{NO}_x$), which all can act as S-nitrosylating agents (Figure 1) (Arnette and Stamler, 1995; Broniowska and Hogg, 2012). Furthermore, NO radicals can react directly with thiol radicals (RS) or metal-NO complexes (M-NO) or protein thiols to generate SNO. The thiol groups and thiol radicals are either be part of a protein or glutathione. Furthermore, hydrogen peroxide, organic hydroperoxides and peroxynitrite oxidizes reactive cysteine thiols (R-SH) to form sulfenic acids or sulfenamides (R-SNR) or sulfonamides (R-SONR), and sulfonamides (R-SO₂NR) (Klomsiri *et al.*, 2011).

A redox code of cysteine residue modifications?

Rare, highly reactive protein cysteine (Cys) thiols (SH) embedded within protein structures, are key atomic targets for RNS dependent signalling (Boehning and Snyder, 2003; Poole *et al.*, 2004). These Cys residues are solvent exposed with a low pK_a sulphahydryl group, which facilitates their efficient oxidation by RNS (Meng *et al.*, 2002; Yu *et al.*, 2014). Redox-based Cys modification is influenced by the ionization state of the reactive Cys: thiolate anions (S^-) have a greater tendency to donate electrons and become polarized relative to their correspondent protonated counterparts.

Cys thiols undergo a redox continuum of modifications generating an array of possible outcomes including: S-nitrosylation, the addition of a nitric oxide (NO) moiety to form an S-nitrosothiol (S-NO), S-glutathionylation (S-SG), S-sulphenation (S-OH), S-thiolation (S-S, i.e. disulphide formation) and S-sulphination (S-O₂H) (Figure 2) (Spadaro *et al.*, 2010; Spoel and Loake, 2011, Klomsiri *et al.*, 2011). A key feature of specificity in redox signalling is the translation of different redox-based modifications into discrete protein functions to generate different cellular outcomes. This has been proposed to provide the basis of a redox code that translates protein structure into function. For example, a single Cys residue in OxyR, a transcriptional activator of *Escherichia coli*, is modified to generate four stable, redox-related modifications (S-H, S-OH, S-SG, or S-NO) (Kim *et al.*, 2002). Each modification resulted in a differential conformational change in OxyR structure, conveying unique DNA binding affinities, promoter activities and co-operative properties. These findings imply that fine-grained transcriptional regulation might therefore be controlled by exploiting distinct Cys modifications.

Local chemical environments conducive to redox-based PTMs

S-nitrosylation has emerged as a prototypic redox-based, PTM across phylogenetic kingdoms (Foster *et al.*, 2009; Yun *et al.*, 2011). In plants, protein-SNO formation has been shown to help shape growth, development, immunity and abiotic interactions (Lee *et al.*, 2008; Kwon *et al.*, 2012). Several studies have been undertaken to uncover a potentially conserved sequence motif for S-nitrosylation of protein targets, utilizing high-throughput proteomic approaches in conjunction with bioinformatic interrogation. Various factors have been reported to contribute to the selectivity of Cys residues for protein S-nitrosylation including: solvent accessibility, the presence of acidic-basic groups in their proximity (within 6 to 8 angstroms), the incidence of adjacent hydrophobic amino acids, increased nucleophilicity and the presence of local α -helical domains (Marino and Gladyshev, 2010; Doulias *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2010; Seth and Stamler, 2011). The motif I/L-X-C-X₂-D/E carries a Cys residue with a high nucleophilicity, surrounded by a hydrophobic residue, I/L, and an acidic residue D/E. This motif therefore contains most, if not all, of the characteristics thought to be necessary for S-nitrosylation of a target Cys. In this context, this motif is associated with iNOS dependent S-nitrosylation of S100A8/A9 (calprotectin/calcium binding protein complex) and was present in 19 additional candidate SNO-proteins (Jia *et al.*, 2012). However, analysis of 445 human proteins with 810 SNO-peptides revealed only 15 peptides present in 15 proteins contain the I/L-X-C-X₂-D/E motif (Jia *et al.*, 2012; Lee *et al.*, 2012).

Hence, results based on large scale data sets have cast doubt on the reliability of a specific motif based on a primary amino acid sequence underpinning S-nitrosylation.

Interestingly, a computational tool, GPS-SNO 1.0, has been developed for the prediction of S-nitrosylation sites. This can provide a convenient and rapid strategy to generate useful information for subsequent experimental verification (Xue *et al.*, 2010). The developers utilised 504 experimentally verified S-nitrosylation sites in 327 unique proteins. The developed GPS-SNO 1.0 tool, predicted at least one potential S-nitrosylation site in 359 out of 485 S-nitrosylated proteins, with a previously unknown S-nitrosylation site. Further, a set of 46 proteins with 53 S-nitrosylation sites experimentally identified in *Arabidopsis*, were interrogated by GPS-SNO 1.0. A total of 60 S-nitrosylation sites were identified amongst these proteins, but only 11 of these sites had been confirmed experimentally (Chaki *et al.*, 2014). Thus, simple motif recognition/computational tools may also be of only limited value as they only reveal information associated with common features responsible for specificity and patterns implemented in predictive algorithms. Consequently, these bioinformatics approaches have largely failed to identify a specific consensus sequence that directs site-selective S-nitrosylation.

As the overall tertiary structure of proteins largely defines the activity of a given cognate amino acid residue, it may be naive to attempt the identification of target Cys residues based on a motif with only primary sequence information. In addition to protein three-dimensional structure, future bioinformatic tools should also consider the given redox cue, for example, NO, GSNO or even oxidised lipids (Mata-Pérez *et al.*, 2017), the likely concentrations of the given RNS and the physiological conditions under which the given PTM occurs, because all these variables can also influence Cys target specificity.

Proximity based RNS production and associated Cys modification

Another potential mechanism thought to be integral for conveying specificity in redox signalling is the proximity of the target Cys to the source of either RNS. Thus, the sub-cellular organization of the source of redox active molecules within cells alongside the spatial and temporal existence of given protein targets maybe of prime importance to trigger a particular redox signalling pathway (Derakhshan *et al.*, 2007). For example, in mammalian cells, eNOS and nNOS co-exist in cardiac myocytes but are located within distinct subcellular compartments: while eNOS is located in the plasma membrane, nNOS is found in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Spatial confinement of these NO sources enables this redox-

active molecule to trigger discriminate signalling pathways which determine distinct downstream effects. Thus, NO generated by eNOS limits myocardial contractility, whereas NO generated by nNOS increases contractility (Barouch *et al.*, 2002).

An alternative biological strategy for achieving specificity in redox signalling is through proximity of both the given RNS source and the cognate target Cys thiol. For example, eNOS binds with Heat Shock Protein 90 (Hsp90) directly which results in S-nitrosylation of Hsp90 at Cys597, affecting its ATPase activity (Martínez-Ruiz *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) becomes activated following direct binding of iNOS, which results in the selective S-nitrosylation of COX-2 on Cys526 (Kim, 2005).

A variation on this theme is the deployment of a scaffold protein to link the redox molecule generator with the target protein. In this context, NMDAR (*N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor) binds indirectly with nNOS via the scaffold-protein PSD95 (postsynaptic density protein). The NMDAR-PSD95-nNOS ternary complex is essential for NMDAR activation which ultimately also increases nNOS activity (Brenman *et al.*, 1996; Christopherson *et al.*, 1999). Interestingly, another adapter protein, *Carboxy-terminal PDZ ligand of nNOS* (CAPON), competes with PSD95 for binding with nNOS, positioning NO generation to drive S-nitrosylation of Dexras (Ras superfamily gene induced by dexamethasone) at Cys11, which facilitates the exchange of bound GDP (guanosine diphosphate) for GTP (guanosine triphosphate) (Jaffrey *et al.*, 1998, 2002).

While now well established in mammalian systems, this proximity based production of redox cues has not to date been demonstrated in plants. At least in the case of NO and other RNS, this has been impeded by the continuing controversy regarding the source of NO production in plants (Corpas *et al.*, 2006; Jeandroz *et al.*, 2016; Mata-Pérez *et al.*, 2017; Zemojtel *et al.*, 2017).

Specific protein *trans*-nitrosylation

Interestingly, the accumulating evidence from mammalian systems suggests that some proteins might function to transfer their NO group linked to the protein Cys sulfur to specific protein substrates, effectively functioning as nitrosylase “enzymes”, akin to more established enzymes in PTMs such as protein kinases (Hess *et al.*, 2005). To date, only a small number of S-nitrosylases have been reported in animals, but this list is steadily growing (Nakamura and Lipton, 2013).

Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase GAPDH, a classic glycolytic enzyme, has been proposed to function as a nitrosylase. Upon apoptotic stimulation, mammalian GAPDH is modified at Cys150 by NO, generated by NOS. Subsequently, SNO-GAPDH is thought to bind with Siah1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which is then translocated to nucleus (Hara *et al.*, 2005). SNO-GAPDH binding with Siah1 stabilizes this protein against turnover and in the nucleus Siah1 degrades its target substrates and also enhances p300/CBP-associated acetylation of nuclear proteins, including p53, which cause cell death (Sen *et al.*, 2008). SNO-GAPDH-Siah1 complex also mediate nuclear translocation of mutant Huntingtin (mHtt) protein which is associated with Huntington's disease (Bae *et al.*, 2006). Further, after nuclear translocation, SNO-GAPDH also transfers its NO group at Cys150 to specific cysteine residues within targeted protein thiols including SIRT1, a histone deacetylase-2 (HDAC2) and DNA-activated protein kinase (DNA-PK) (Kornberg *et al.*, 2011). Hence, SNO-GAPDH essentially also functions as an S-nitrosylase, transferring its NO to Cys thiols embedded in target proteins. However, under low levels of NO availability GOSPEL (GAPDH's competitor Of Siah Protein Enhances Life) is S-nitrosylated and competes with Siah1 for GAPDH binding, preventing the formation of a GAPDH-Siah1 complex (Sen *et al.*, 2009).

The S-nitrosylation of haemoglobin has been proposed to regulate human blood pressure (Jia *et al.*, 1996). The central iron molecule of the heme group in hemoglobin can bind oxygen facilitating the transfer of this molecule from the lungs to the rest of the body. Binding of NO at this heme group rapidly facilitates auto-S-nitrosylation of haemoglobin at its highly conserved Cys β 93 residue, by the transfer of the bound NO moiety, freeing the heme iron to bind with oxygen. In human hypoxia, hemoglobin-SNO has been proposed to function as a nitrosylase, where the NO group is transferred from SNO-Cys β 93 to the anion exchange protein (AE1/band3) in human red blood cells, following binding to the red blood cell membrane. Subsequently, SNO-AE1 is thought to release NO which can subsequently diffuse into smooth muscle cells, promoting the relaxation of blood vessels (Pawloski *et al.*, 2001). In this scenario, allosteric regulation of haemoglobin is employed to propagate a vasodilatory signal via the *trans*-nitrosylative transfer of NO. Thus, protein *trans*-nitrosylation, constitutes an additional strategy to convey specificity to NO-related redox signalling in biological systems.

Removal of redox-based PTMs

An important feature of the addition of PTMs to their protein targets associated with cellular

signalling is their selective reversal to disengage the given signal networks. It is highly likely that specificity in redox signalling is achieved largely by rates of reversal of Cys modifications, rather than by their formation, as rapidly degraded redox modifications would likely have far less impact than more persistent ones (Derakhshan *et al.*, 2007). In this context, it has been demonstrated that different protein-SNOs can have widely diverse biological lifetimes (Seth and Stamler, 2015). While a proportion of this can be attributed to the innate chemical stability of a given protein-SNO, this property is also influenced by potential non-enzymatic breakdown, for example, by either of the key cellular antioxidant molecules, ascorbate or glutathione (Masella *et al.*, 2005; Feechan *et al.*, 2005; Benhar *et al.*, 2008; Kneeshaw *et al.*, 2014). In green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, hemoglobin affects the nitrogen assimilation pathway by simultaneously modulating NO levels and NR activity (Sanz-Luque *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, non-symbiotic plant hemoglobins (phytoglobins) have also been proposed to function as NO scavengers in plants, with their activity possibly impacting plant developmental programmes (Hebelstrup *et al.*, 2013). However, these proteins are not thought to control the consequences of the pathogen-triggered, nitrosative burst and associated host cell death during plant immunity (Perazzolli *et al.*, 2004). Ascorbic acid can reduce phytoglobins in support of NO scavenging, generating nitrate and monodehydroascorbate. The monodehydroascorbate is recycled back to ascorbic acid by monodehydroascorbate reductase using NADH. Phytoglobins scavenge NO by forming S-nitrosophytoglobin, however, how this is recycled back to the reduced phytoglobin remains to be determined, but recent evidence suggests this does not involve ascorbate *in planta* (Wang and Hargrove, 2013).

Significantly, in more established signal transduction mechanisms, such as phosphorylation, signalling and its associated specificity is the result of a delicate poise between the activities of kinase and phosphatase activities. The conceptual equivalent of protein phosphatases associated with redox signalling has recently started to come into sharper focus.

Indirect and selective protein denitrosylation

It is now well established that potential non-enzymatic mechanisms make a key contribution to protein-SNO homeostasis (Liu *et al.*, 2001; Feechan *et al.*, 2005). The antioxidant tripeptide glutathione (GSH) has been shown to access sites of S-nitrosylation in protein-SNOs, reducing the SNO group back to a Cys thiol and forming S-nitrosogluthathione (GSNO), GSH S-nitrosylated at its Cys residue, as a consequence (Corrales *et al.*, 1999; Romero & Bizzozero, 2009). *Arabidopsis* GAPDH is S-nitrosylated at Cys149 and is

denitrosylated selectively by GSH (Zaffagnini *et al.*, 2013). However, in animals, SNO-GAPDH binding with Siah1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, forms a complex which changes the conformation of SNO-GAPDH, precluding GAPDH-SNO-Cys149 from GSH, abolishing GSH mediated denitrosylation (Paige *et al.*, 2008).

Importantly, GSNO can function as a natural NO donor driving S-nitrosylation of reactive Cys thiols by *trans*-nitrosylation, resulting in specific S-nitrosylation of the target protein (Hess *et al.*, 2005). GSNO is relatively stable and can therefore function as a cellular reservoir of NO bioactivity. Significantly, GSNO can be turned over by GSNO reductase (Feechan *et al.*, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2008; Chen *et al.*, 2009). Thus, S-nitrosylated proteins maybe in dynamic equilibrium with their de-nitrosylated counterparts controlled by the activity of GSNOR.

A growing body of evidence has demonstrated that GSNOR mediated denitrosylation has essential roles in both plant immunity and development. Loss or gain-of-function mutants in *GSNOR1* in *Arabidopsis* result in either reduced or increased denitrosylation respectively. While reduced denitrosylation promotes enhanced disease susceptibility, increased denitrosylation leads to enhanced disease resistance (Feechan *et al.*, 2005; Tada *et al.*, 2008). Further, the control of denitrosylation has also been shown to regulate pathogen-triggered cell death by controlling the extent of S-nitrosylation at Cys890 of the Respiratory Burst Oxidase Homolog D (RBOHD) and this mechanism maybe evolutionary conserved across kingdoms (Yun *et al.*, 2011). In a similar fashion, dysregulation of denitrosylation also appears to impact a number of key plant developmental programmes (Kwon *et al.*, 2012; Lee *et al.*, 2008; Lea *et al.*, 2004).

But how is specificity in GSNOR function established? The emerging evidence suggests that tertiary protein structure restricts the ability of GSH to function as a non-enzymatic denitrosylase to a sub-set of reactive proteins Cys thiols (Foster *et al.*, 2009; Yun *et al.*, 2016). Thus, GSH might not be able to denitrosylate all possible cellular protein-SNOs, forming the free protein thiol and GSNO in the process. Consequently, only a sub-set of total cellular S-nitrosylated proteins will be under the indirect control of the protein denitrosylase, GSNOR.

Direct and selective protein denitrosylation

Thioredoxins (Trxs) are present in all living organisms and their activity can be recycled by

NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase (TrxR). Trx/TrxR mediated denitrosylation has emerged as an important mechanism to control redox regulation. In animals Trx mediated denitrosylation of Caspase-3 results in the activation of this enzyme (Benhar *et al.*, 2008). Other targets for Trx mediated selective denitrosylation in animals are caspase-9, protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B and GAPDH (Benhar *et al.*, 2008).

In plant immunity, Trxh5 has been proposed to selectively denitrosylate the transcriptional co-activator, NPR1 (Kneeshaw *et al.*, 2014). NPR1 is S-nitrosylated in response to increasing GSNO levels, leading to NPR1 oligomerization. Thus, sequestering this co-activator in the cytosol, preventing its nuclear translocation and the subsequent activation of *Pathogenesis Related (PR)* gene expression (Tada *et al.*, 2008; Yu *et al.*, 2014). Trxh5 and TrxR have been proposed to directly denitrosylate NPR1, leading to the release of NPR1 monomers, their translocation to the nucleus and the subsequent engagement of *PR* gene transcription (Kneeshaw *et al.*, 2014). Thus, selective denitrosylation of NPR1 is an important feature of the plant immune response. However, it is noteworthy that NPR1 function is also impacted by GSNOR activity (Feechan *et al.*, 2005; Tada *et al.*, 2008), suggesting the S-nitrosylation status of this transcriptional co-activator is regulated directly by Trxh5 but indirectly by GSNOR. The emerging biochemical and genetic evidence suggests that Trxh5 and TrxR can denitrosylate a sub-set of the plant SNO proteome directly and selectively *in vivo* (Kneeshaw *et al.*, 2014). Thus, the regulation of denitrosylation at other key protein Cys thiols is also likely to be under the control of Trxh5 and TrxR. Further, Trxs are comprised of a large gene family in *Arabidopsis*, therefore it is possible other Trx family members might also function in combination with TrxR as direct and selective denitrosylases of a range of possible substrates.

Interestingly, in mammals, two Trx-mimetic (TXM) peptides derived from Trxs conserved active site (CXXC) have been documented to act as denitrosylating catalysts (Kronenfeld *et al.*, 2015). TXMs with TrxR can effectively reduce both low-molecular-weight SNOs and protein SNO. GSNO is reduced to GSH through *trans*-nitrosylation resulting in S-nitrosylated TXM, which are cyclized by TrxR or other endogenous thiol reductases. TXMs have ability to denitrosylate multiple classes of SNO proteins. TXM also protects TrxR from SNO-mediated loss of activity which is important to conserve its function in redox signalling. Collectively, the current state-of-the-art suggests that Trx and TrxR can function as direct and selective denitrosylases to regulate a subset of S-nitrosylated proteins across kingdoms.

Discrete activities of given RNS

Recent studies have shown that NO and SNO might have discrete or overlapping protein targets in relation to redox signalling (Yun *et al.*, 2016), providing an additional layer of RNS signalling specificity. NO has been proposed to have a more extensive range of biological activity relative to GSNO (Figure 3). In addition to protein S-nitrosylation, NO is thought to be largely responsible for metal-nitrosylation, the most rapid known reaction of NO with proteins. NO forms metal-nitrosyl complexes (M-NO) with metals embedded within proteins, for instance zinc (Zn^{2+}), iron (Fe^{2+} or Fe^{3+}), or copper (Cu^{2+}) (Leitner *et al.*, 2009). Mammalian soluble guanylate cyclase is a prototypic example of a protein that is regulated by this type of PTM (Derbyshire and Marletta, 2012). NO can also nitrosylate amino acid side chains to form so-called N-NO (Stamler *et al.*, 1992). However, NO cannot directly oxidize amino acid side chains at any significant biological rate. Hence, NO mediates N-NO via auto oxidation to nitrogen dioxide, dinitrogen trioxide or peroxynitrite. In contrast, GSNO is thought to principally mediate protein S-nitrosylation (Foster *et al.*, 2009; Yun *et al.*, 2016).

A microbial Flavohaemoglobin (Fhb) has been shown to turnover NO in a number of bacteria and may function as a virulence factor to counter antimicrobial NO production by potential mammalian hosts produced via iNOS (Poole *et al.*, 1996; Poole and Hughes, 2000). Loss-of-function mutations in a *Fhb* present in the human pathogens *Cryptococcus neoformans* or *Salmonella typhimurium* reduced their virulence in mice. However, virulence was restored in mice lacking an iNOS (Bang *et al.*, 2006; de Jesús-Berrios *et al.*, 2017). Informatively, double mutants lacking both Fhb and GSNOR function further reduced the virulence of *Cryptococcus neoformans*, consistent with an additive antimicrobial function of NO and GSNO (Liu *et al.*, 2004), suggesting these RNS might have separable functions. Thus, NO and GSNO might target a different spectrum of microbial protein Cys thiols.

Similarly, in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* a *Fhb* knock-out mutant strain was deficient in NO turnover as compared to wild-type or *GSNOR* knock-out strains. Further, exogenous application of NO retarded the growth of the *fhb* mutant but had no impact on either wild-type or a *gsnor* mutant strain. However, exogenous application of GSNO had greater impact on the *fhb gsnor* double mutant relative to either of the single mutant strains. Thus, GSNOR activity conveys greater protection against GSNO-induced nitrosative stress, rather than nitrosative stress established by NO (Foster *et al.*, 2009). This is consistent with the posit that NO and GSNO may have distinct and overlapping activities.

Genetic evidence for this dichotomy between the biological activities of NO and GSNO has also recently been established in plants (Yun *et al.*, 2016). The *Arabidopsis* mutants, *no-over*

producer 1-1(nox1-1) and *gsnor1-3* exhibit increased levels of either NO or SNO *in vivo*, respectively (He, 2004; Feechan *et al.*, 2005; Yun *et al.*, 2016). Informatively, the double mutant, *gsnor1-3 nox1-1*, appears to be genetically additive, as it shows greater susceptibility to pathogens than either of the single mutants alone. Further, *GSNOR1* over expression in *gsnor1* plants restored wild-type levels of immunity, however, this was not the case in *nox1-1* plants. This may reflect the notion that NO can drive both M-NO and N-NO formation in addition to protein S-nitrosylation. In contrast, GSNO predominately favours the generation of protein-SNOs. Interestingly, genetic analysis suggests that high *in vivo* SNO concentrations can facilitate pathogen-triggered hypersensitive response (HR) cell death independently of ROS synthesized by the NADPH oxidases, AtRBOHD and AtRBOHF, responsible for the extracellular oxidative burst (Yun *et al.*, 2011). While NO was previously reported to require superoxide (O_2^-) in order to trigger the HR (Delledonne *et al.*, 2001) (see next section). Collectively, these data suggest that NO and SNO might have discrete or overlapping functions in the context of redox signalling during the establishment of plant immunity (Yun *et al.*, 2016) and perhaps other plant molecular systems, providing addition scope for specificity in redox regulation of cellular function.

Specificity conveyed by interactions between RNS and ROS

Chemical interactions between RNS and ROS is a prominent feature of redox signalling networks. These interactions have been proposed to indirectly modulate the activity of a series of proteins (Hausladen and Fridovich, 1994; Romero *et al.*, 2003; Wang *et al.*, 2013) and by extension, this presents another way of achieving specificity in redox signalling. For example, NO is unable to influence the activity of the tricarboxylic acid cycle enzyme, aconitase, in *Escherichia coli*. However, the interaction of NO with superoxide (O_2^-) to form peroxynitrite ($ONOO^-$), generates a powerful inhibitor of aconitase activity (Hausladen and Fridovich, 1994).

In plant biology, interaction between NO and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) has been reported to be a key facet associated with the development of the hypersensitive response (HR), leading to the programmed execution of pathogen challenged plant cells (Mur *et al.*, 2008). NO production following pathogen recognition has been posited to be insufficient to activate HR cell death. Rather, the HR has been proposed to be triggered only by a balanced production of NO and ROS (Delledonne *et al.*, 2001; Lin *et al.*, 2012). Thus, HR cell death is activated after interaction of NO not with superoxide (O_2^-), presumably produced by RBOHD and RBOHF (Torres *et al.*, 2002), but with H_2O_2 generated from O_2^- by superoxide dismutase (Delledonne

et al., 2001). Increasing the level of O_2^- reduced NO-mediated HR cell death, by converting more NO into peroxynitrate ($ONOO^-$), which was proposed to have low levels of toxicity towards plant cells. However, this is counterintuitive, because it is well established that $ONOO^-$ exhibits an extremely high level of cellular toxicity (Pacher and Szabo, 2008). Perhaps plants can more effectively turnover $ONOO^-$?

In a similar fashion, both NO and GSNO have been proposed to be important mediators in the process of H_2O_2 -induced leaf cell death in rice (Lin *et al.*, 2012) and in response to the fungal elicitor, cryptogein, the production of NO and H_2O_2 in tobacco appears to be reciprocally regulated (Kulik *et al.*, 2015). Also, S-nitrosylation of RBOHD at Cys890 curbs ROS production limiting pathogen-triggered cell death development (Yun *et al.*, 2011). An interaction between NO and H_2O_2 has also been reported to be required for stimulation of stomatal closure in *Arabidopsis* in response to ultraviolet-B exposure. An increase in H_2O_2 scavenging activity or an inhibition of H_2O_2 synthesis prevented NO generation and subsequent stomatal closure (He *et al.*, 2013).

In aggregate, this work demonstrates that either direct or indirect interactions between distinct redox molecules can shape the specificity of signalling outputs.

Conclusions and future prospects

The prevalence of redox signalling in the biology of plants and other organisms is being increasingly appreciated. However, there are many potential molecular switches, including but not limited to highly reactive Cys thiols and chemically co-ordinated metal co-factors. So how is specificity in redox regulation achieved? As discussed above, the emerging model suggests that redox specificity is mediated via a multi-layered molecular framework. This might encompass the production of the signalling redox molecule(s) in the locale of the protein target. Tertiary protein structures subsequently provide a chemical environment compatible with oxidation/reduction of the target redox switch. Superimposed upon this molecular landscape, *trans*-nitrosylation, by a nascent but growing repertoire of nitrosylases, can function as an additional mechanism to deliver and then transfer an NO moiety to a target protein thiol. Reversal of redox modifications, rather than their formation, is also a major contributor to signalling specificity. In this context, selective, indirect protein denitrosylation conveyed by GSNOR-dependent GSNO turnover is a prominent feature. This mechanism is augmented by the selective and direct denitrosylation mediated by Trxh5 and TrxR.

Signalling specificity is also mediated by the unique chemistries of individual RNS which is overlaid on the structural constraints imposed by tertiary protein structure gating access to given redox switches. Finally, the direct or indirect interactions between RNS and ROS can also indirectly establish signalling specificity through shaping the formation of appropriate redox cues.

The emerging evidence suggests redox signalling pervades almost all aspects of plant growth, development, immunity and abiotic environmental interactions (Besson-Bard *et al.*, 2008; Yu *et al.*, 2012, 2014). A deeper understanding of the fundamental mechanisms underpinning this mode of regulatory control is now an urgent future priority. In biomedicine, insights into free radical biology and associated redox signalling are already being actively translated into blockbuster pharmaceuticals, especially within the areas of cardiovascular disease, sexual dysfunction, pain, neuroprotection, asthma, and anti-inflammatories (Janero, 2000; Foster *et al.*, 2003). As the plant redox field moves forward, similar opportunities will emerge in relation to agriculture, horticulture and industrial biotechnology. An exciting future rich in “radical” activity lies ahead.

Acknowledgements

GJL acknowledges research funding from BBSRC grant BB/DO11809/1. JL is the recipient of an Islamic Development Bank Scholarship. BC and QP were supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council.

References

- Alderton WK, Cooper CE, Knowles RG. 2001.** Nitric oxide synthases: structure, function And inhibition. *Biochemical Journal* **357**, 593–615.
- Arnelo DR, Stamler JS. 1995.** NO⁺, NO, and NO⁻ donation by S-nitrosothiols: implications for regulation of physiological functions by S-nitrosylation and acceleration of disulfide formation. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* **318**, 279–285.
- Bae B-I, Hara MR, Cascio MB, Wellington CL, Hayden MR, Ross CA, Ha HC, Li X-J, Snyder SH, Sawa A. 2006.** Mutant Huntingtin: Nuclear translocation and cytotoxicity mediated by GAPDH. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **103**, 3405–3409.
- Bang IS, Liu L, Vazquez-Torres A, Crouch ML, Stamler JS, Fang FC. 2006.** Maintenance of nitric oxide and redox homeostasis by the Salmonella flavohemoglobin Hmp. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **281**, 28039–28047.

- Barouch LA, Harrison RW, Skaf MW, Rosas GO, Cappola TP, Kobeissi, Hobai IA, Lemmon CA, Burnett AL, Rourke AL, Rodriguez ER, Huang PL, Lima JAC, Berkowitz DE, Hare JM.** 2002. Nitric oxide regulates the heart by spatial confinement of nitric oxide synthase isoforms. *Nature* **416**, 337–339.
- Benhar M, Forrester MT, Hess DT, Stamler JS.** 2008. Regulated Protein Denitrosylation by Cytosolic and Mitochondrial Thioredoxins. *Science* **320**, 1050 LP-1054.
- Besson-Bard A, Pugin A, Wendehenne D.** 2008. New Insights into Nitric Oxide Signaling in Plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **59**, 21–39.
- Boehning D, Snyder SH.** 2003. Noval Neural Modulators. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **26**, 105–131.
- Brenman JE, Chao DS, Gee SH, McGee AW, Craven SE, Santillano DR, Wu Z, Huang F, Xia H, Peters MF, Froehner SC, Bredt DS.** 1996. Interaction of Nitric Oxide Synthase with the Postsynaptic Density Protein PSD-95 and α 1-Syntrophin Mediated by PDZ Domains. *Cell* **84**, 757–767.
- Broniowska KA, Hogg N.** 2012. The chemical biology of S-nitrosothiols. *Antioxid. Redox Signal* **17**, 969–980.
- Chaki M, Kovacs I, Spannagl M, Lindermayr C.** 2014. Computational prediction of candidate proteins for S-nitrosylation in arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS ONE* **9**.
- Chamizo-Ampudia A, Sans-Laue E, Llamas A, Galvan A, Fernandez E.** 2016. Nitrate Reductase regulates plant nitric oxide homeostasis. *Trends in plant sciences* **22**(2), 163-174 .
- Chen Y-J, Ku W-C, Lin P-Y, Chou H-C, Khoo K-H, Chen Y-J.** 2010. S-Alkylating Labeling Strategy for Site-Specific Identification of the S-Nitrosoproteome. *Journal of Proteome Research* **9**, 6417–6439.
- Chen R, Sun S, Wang C, Yansha Li, Yan L, Fengying A, Chao L, Haili D, Xiaohui Y, Jian Z, Jianru Z.** 2009. The Arabidopsis PARAQUAT RESISTANT2 gene encodes an S-nitrosogluthathione reductase that is a key regulator of cell death. *Cell Research* **19**, 1377–1387.
- Christopherson KS, Hillier BJ, Lim WA, Bredt DS.** 1999. PSD-95 Assembles a Ternary Complex with theN-Methyl-d-aspartic Acid Receptor and a Bivalent Neuronal NO Synthase PDZ Domain . *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **274**, 27467–27473.
- Corpas FJ, Barroso JB, Carreras A, Valderrama R, Palma JM, León AM, Sandalio LM, del Río LA.** 2006. Constitutive arginine-dependent nitric oxide synthase activity in different organs of pea seedlings during plant development. *Planta* **224**, 246–254.
- Corrales FJ, Ruiz F, Mato JM.** 1999. In vivo regulation of glutathione of methionine

adenosyltransferase S. nitrosylation in rat liver. *Journal of Hepatology* 31, 887-894

Correa-Aragunde N, Foresi N, Lamattina L. 2013. Structure diversity of nitric oxide synthases (NOS): the emergence of new forms in photosynthetic organisms. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4, 232.

Delledonne M, Zeier J, Marocco A, Lamb C. 2001. Signal interactions between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98, 13454–13459.

Derakhshan B, Hao G, Gross SS. 2007. Balancing reactivity against selectivity: The evolution of protein S-nitrosylation as an effector of cell signaling by nitric oxide. *Cardiovascular Research* 75, 210–219.

Derbyshire ER, Marletta MA. 2012. Structure and Regulation of Soluble Guanylate Cyclase. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 81, 533–559.

Doulias P-T, Greene JL, Greco TM, Tenopoulou M, Seeholzer SH, Dunbrack RL, Ischiropoulos H. 2010. Structural profiling of endogenous S-nitrosocysteine residues reveals unique features that accommodate diverse mechanisms for protein S-nitrosylation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107, 16958–16963.

Durner J, Wendehenne D, Klessig DF. 1998. Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP-ribose. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 95, 10328–10333.

Fancy NN, Bahlmann A-K, Loake GJ. 2016. Nitric oxide function in plant abiotic stress. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 1–11.

Feechan A, Kwon E, Yun B-W, Wang Y, Pallas JA, Loake GJ. 2005. A central role for S-nitrosothiols in plant disease resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102, 8054–8059.

Foreman J, Demidchik V, Bothwell JHF, Mylona P, Miedema H, Torres MA, Linstead P, Costa S, Brownlee C, Jones JD, Davies JM, Dolan L. 2003. Reactive oxygen species produced by NADPH oxidase regulate plant cell growth. *Nature* 422, 442–446.

Foresi N, Correa-Aragunde N, Parisi G, Caló G, Salerno G, Lamattina L. 2010. Characterization of a nitric oxide synthase from the plant kingdom: NO generation from the green alga *Ostreococcus tauri* is light irradiance and growth phase dependent. *The Plant Cell* 22, 3816 LP-3830.

Foster MW, Liu L, Zeng M, Hess DT, Stamler JS, Foster MW, Liu L, Zeng M, Hess DT, Stamler JS. 2009. A Genetic Analysis of Nitrosative Stress. *Biochemistry* 48, 792–799.

- Foster MW, McMahon TJ, Stamler JS.** 2003. S-nitrosylation in health and disease. *Trends in Molecular Medicine* **9**, 160–168.
- Gupta KJ, Fernie AR, Kaiser WM, van Dongen JT.** 2011. On the origins of nitric oxide. *Trends in Plant Science* **16**, 160–168.
- Hara MR, Agrawal N, Kim SF, Cascio MB, Fujimuro M, Ozeki Y, Takahashi M, Cheah JH, Tankou SK, Hester LD, Ferris CD, Hayward SD, Snyder SH, Sawa A.** 2005. S-nitrosylated GAPDH initiates apoptotic cell death by nuclear translocation following Siah1 binding. *Nature Cell Biology* **7**, 665–674.
- Hausladen A, Fridovich I.** 1994. Superoxide and peroxynitrite inactivate aconitases, but nitric oxide does not. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **269**, 29405–29408.
- He Y.** 2004. Nitric Oxide Represses the Arabidopsis Floral Transition. *Science* **305**, 1968–1971.
- He J-M, Ma X-G, Zhang Y, Sun T-F, Xu F-F, Chen Y-P, Liu X, Yue M.** 2013. Role and Interrelationship of Gα Protein, Hydrogen Peroxide, and Nitric Oxide in Ultraviolet B-Induced Stomatal Closure in Arabidopsis Leaves. *Plant Physiology* **161**, 1570–1583.
- Hebelstrup KH, Shah JK, Igamberdiev AU.** 2013. The role of nitric oxide and hemoglobin in plant development and morphogenesis. *Physiologia Plantarum* **148**, 457–469.
- Hess DT, Matsumoto A, Kim S-OO, Marshall HE, Stamler JS.** 2005. Protein S-nitrosylation: purview and parameters. *Nature Review Molecular Cell Biology* **6**, 150–166.
- Homem RA, Loake GJ.** 2013. Orchestrating plant development, metabolism and plant–microbe interactions – NO problem! *New Phytologist* **197**, 1035–1038.
- Jaffrey SR, Fang M, Snyder SH.** 2002. Nitrosopeptide Mapping. *Chemistry & Biology* **9**, 1329–1335.
- Jaffrey SR, Snowman AM, Eliasson MJL, Cohen NA, Snyder SH.** 1998. CAPON: A Protein Associated with Neuronal Nitric Oxide Synthase that Regulates Its Interactions with PSD95. *Neuron* **20**, 115–124.
- Janero DR.** 2000. Nitric oxide (NO)-related pharmaceuticals: contemporary approaches to therapeutic no modulation. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* **28**, 1495–1506.
- Jeandroz S, Wipf D, Stuehr DJ, Lamattina L, Melkonian M, Tian Z, Zhu Y, Carpenter EJ, Wong GK-S, Wendehenne D.** 2016. Occurrence, structure, and evolution of nitric oxide synthase-like proteins in the plant kingdom. *Science Signaling* **9**, re2 LP-re2.
- de Jesús-Berrios M, Liu L, Nussbaum JC, Cox GM, Stamler JS, Heitman J.** 2017. Enzymes that Counteract Nitrosative Stress Promote Fungal Virulence. *Current Biology* **13**, 1963–1968.
- Jia J, Arif A, Willard B, Smith JD, Stuehr DJ, Hazen SL, Fox PL.** 2012. Protection of

Extraribosomal RPL13a by GAPDH and Dysregulation by S-Nitrosylation. *Molecular Cell* **47**, 656–663.

Jia L, Bonaventura C, Bonaventura J, Stamler JS. 1996. S-nitrosohaemoglobin: a dynamic activity of blood involved in vascular control. *Nature* **380**, 221–226.

Kaya H, Nakajima R, Iwano M, Kanaoka MM, Kimura S, Takeda S, Kawarazaki T, Senzaki E, Hamamura Y, Higashiyama T, Takayama S, Abe M, Kuchitsu K. 2014. Ca²⁺-Activated Reactive Oxygen Species Production by Arabidopsis RbohH and RbohJ Is Essential for Proper Pollen Tube Tip Growth. *The Plant Cell* **26**, 1069-1080.

Kim SF. 2005. Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthase Binds, S-Nitrosylates, and Activates Cyclooxygenase-2. *Science* **310**, 1966–1970.

Kim SO, Merchant K, Nudelman R, Beyer WF, Keng T, DeAngelo J, Hausladen A, Stamler JS. 2002. OxyR: A molecular code for redox-related signaling. *Cell* **109**, 383–396.

Klomsiri C, Karplus PA, Poole LB. 2011. Cysteine-based redox switches in enzymes. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **14** (6), 1065-1077

Kneeshaw S, Gelineau S, Tada Y, Loake GJ, Spoel SH. 2014. Selective protein denitrosylation activity of thioredoxin-h5 modulates plant immunity. *Molecular Cell* **56**, 153–162.

Knowles RG, Moncada S. 1994. Nitric oxide synthases in mammals. *Biochemical Journal* **298**, 249-258.

Kornberg MD, Sen N, Hara MR, Juluri KR, K J Van, Snowman AM, Law L, Hester LD, Solomon H. 2011. NIH Public Access. **12**, 1094–1100.

Kronenfeld G, Engelman R, Weisman-Shomer P, Atlas D, Benhar M. 2015. Thioredoxin-mimetic peptides as catalysts of S-denitrosylation and anti-nitrosative stress agents. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine* **79**, 138–146.

Kulik A, Noirot E, Grandperret V, Bourque S, Fromentin J, Salloignon P, Truntzer C, Dobrowolska G, Simon-plas F, Wendehenne D. 2015. Interplays between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species in cryptogeiin signalling. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **38**, 331–348.

Kwon E, Feechan A, Yun B-W, Hwang B-H, Pallas JA, Kang J-G, Loake GJ. 2012. AtGSNOR1 function is required for multiple developmental programs in Arabidopsis . *Planta* **236**, 887–900.

Lea US, ten Hoopen F, Provan F, Kaiser WM, Meyer C, Lillo C. 2004. Mutation of the regulatory phosphorylation site of tobacco nitrate reductase results in high nitrite excretion and NO emission from leaf and root tissue. *Planta* **219**, 59–65.

Lee T-Y, Chen Y-J, Lu C-T, Ching W-C, Teng Y-C, Huang H-D, Chen Y-J. 2012. dbSNO: a database of cysteine S-nitrosylation. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2293–2295.

- Lee U, Wie C, Fernandez BO, Feelisch M, Vierling E.** 2008. Modulation of Nitrosative Stress by S-Nitrosogluthathione Reductase Is Critical for Thermotolerance and Plant Growth in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **20**, 786-802.
- Leitner M, Vandelle E, Gaupels F, Bellin D, Delledonne M.** 2009. NO signals in the haze. Nitric oxide signalling in plant defence. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **12**, 451–458.
- Lin A, Wang Y, Tang J, Xue P, Li C, Liu L, Hu B, Yang F, Loake GJ, Chu C.** 2012. Nitric Oxide and Protein S-Nitrosylation Are Integral to Hydrogen Peroxide-Induced Leaf Cell Death in Rice. *Plant Physiology* **158**, 451–464.
- Liu L, Hausladen A, Zeng M, Que L, Heitman J, Stamler JS.** 2001. A metabolic enzyme for S-nitrosothiol conserved from bacteria to humans. *Nature* **410**, 490–494.
- Liu L, Yan Y, Zeng M, Zhang J, Hanes MA, Ahearn G, McMahon TJ, Dickfeld T, Marshall HE, Que LG, Stamler JS.** 2004. Essential Roles of S-Nitrosothiols in Vascular Homeostasis and Endotoxic Shock. *Cell* **116**, 617–628.
- Marino SM, Gladyshev VN.** 2010. Structural Analysis of Cysteine S-Nitrosylation: A Modified Acid-Based Motif and the Emerging Role of Trans-Nitrosylation. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **395**, 844–859.
- Martínez-Ruiz A, Villanueva L, de Orduña CG, López-Ferrer D, Higuera MÁ, Tarín C, Rodríguez-Crespo I, Vázquez J, Lamas S.** 2005. S-nitrosylation of Hsp90 promotes the inhibition of its ATPase and endothelial nitric oxide synthase regulatory activities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**, 8525–8530.
- Masella R, Di Benedetto R, Varì R, Filesi C, Giovannini C.** 2005. Novel mechanisms of natural antioxidant compounds in biological systems: involvement of glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry* **16**, 577–586.
- Mata-Pérez C, Sánchez-Calvo B, Padilla MN, Begara-Morales JC, Valderrama R, Corpas FJ, Barroso JB.** 2017. Nitro-fatty acids in plant signaling: New key mediators of nitric oxide metabolism. *Redox Biology* **11**, 554–561.
- Meng TC, Fukada T, Tonks NK.** 2002. Reversible oxidation and inactivation of protein tyrosine phosphatases in vivo. *Molecular Cell* **9**, 387–399.
- Mur LAJ, Kenton P, Lloyd AJ, Ougham H, Prats E.** 2008. The hypersensitive response; the centenary is upon us but how much do we know? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **59**, 501–520.
- Nakamura T, Lipton SA.** 2013. Emerging Role of Protein-Protein Transnitrosylation in Cell Signaling Pathways. *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling* **18**, 239–249.
- Nathan C.** 2003. Specificity of a third kind: Reactive oxygen and nitrogen intermediates in

cell signaling. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **111**, 769–778.

Pacher P, Szabo C. 2008. Role of the peroxynitrite-poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase pathway in human disease. *The American journal of pathology* **173**, 2-13.

Paige JS, Xu G, Stancevic B, Jaffrey SR. 2008. Nitrosothiol Reactivity Profiling Identifies S-Nitrosylated Proteins with Unexpected Stability. *Chemistry & Biology* **15**, 1307–1316.

Palmer RMJ, Ashton DS, Moncada S. 1988. Vascular endothelial cells synthesize nitric oxide from L-arginine. *Nature* **333**, 664–666.

Pawloski JR, Hess DT, Stamler JS. 2001. Export by red blood cells of nitric oxide bioactivity. *Nature* **409**, 622–626.

Perazzolli M, Dominici P, Romero-Puertas MC, Zago E, Zeier J, Sonoda M, Lamb C, Delledonne M. 2004. Arabidopsis Nonsymbiotic Hemoglobin AHb1 Modulates Nitric Oxide Bioactivity. *The Plant Cell* **16**, 2785–2794.

Poole RK, Anjum MF, Membrillo-Hernández J, Kim SO, Hughes MN, Stewart V. 1996. Nitric oxide, nitrite, and Fnr regulation of hmp (flavo-hemoglobin) gene expression in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Journal of Bacteriology* **178**, 5487–5492.

Poole RK, Hughes MN. 2000. New functions for the ancient globin family: bacterial responses to nitric oxide and nitrosative stress. *Molecular Microbiology* **36**, 775–783.

Poole LB, Karplus PA, Claiborne A. 2004. Protein Sulfenic Acids in Redox Signaling. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* **44**, 325–347.

del Río L a, Sandalio LM, Corpas FJ, Palma JM, Barroso JB. 2006. Reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen species in peroxisomes. Production, scavenging, and role in cell signaling. *Plant physiology* **141**, 330–335.

Rodríguez-Ruiz M, Mateos RM, Codesido V, Corpas FJ, Palma JM. 2017. Characterization of the galactono-1,4-lactone dehydrogenase from pepper fruits and its modulation in the ascorbate biosynthesis. Role of nitric oxide(NO). *Redox Biology* **12**, 171–181.

Romero JM, Bizzozero OA. 2009. Intracellular glutathione mediates the denitrosylation of protein nitrosothiols in the rat spinal cord. *Journal of Neuroscience Research* **87**, 701-709.

Romero N, Radi R, Linares E, Augusto O, Detweiler CD, Mason RP, Denicola A. 2003. Reaction of Human Hemoglobin with Peroxynitrite: ISOMERIZATION TO NITRATE AND SECONDARY FORMATION OF PROTEIN RADICALS . *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **278**, 44049–44057.

Sanz-Luque E, Ocaña-Calahorra F, de Montaigu A, Chamizo-Ampudia A, Galván A, Fernández E. 2014. THB1, a truncated hemoglobin, modulates nitric oxide levels and nitrate reductase activity. *The Plant Journal* **81**, 467-479

- Sen N, Hara MR, Ahmed AS, Casciol MB, Kamiya A, Ehmsen JT, Aggarwal N, Hesterl L, Dore S, Synder SH, Sawal A.** 2009. GOSPEL: A novel neuroprotective protein that binds to GAPDH upon S-nitrosylation. *Neuron* **63**, 81–91.
- Sen N, Hara MR, Kornberg MD, Cascio MB, Bae BI, Shahani N, Thomas B, Dawson TM, Dawson VL, Snyder SH, Sawa A.** 2008. Nitric oxide-induced nuclear GAPDH activates p300/CBP and mediates apoptosis. *Nature cell biology* **10**, 866–873.
- Seth D, Stamler JS.** 2011. The SNO-proteome: causation and classifications. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology* **15**, 129–136.
- Seth D, Stamler JS.** 2015. SNOs differ: Methodological and biological implications. *Circulation research* **117**, 826–829.
- Skelly MJ, Loake GJ.** 2013. Synthesis of redox-active molecules and their signaling functions during the expression of plant disease resistance. *Antioxidants & redox signaling* **19**, 990–7.
- Spadaro D, Yun B, Spoel SH, Chu C, Wang Y.** 2010. The redox switch: dynamic regulation of protein function by cysteine modifications. *Physiologia Plantarum* **138**, 360–371.
- Spoel SH, Loake GJ.** 2011. Redox-based protein modifications: The missing link in plant immune signalling. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **14**, 358–364.
- Stamler JS, Singel DJ, Loscalzo J.** 1992. Biochemistry of nitric oxide and its redox-activated forms. *Science* **258**, 1898 LP-1902.
- Stöhr C, Stremlau S.** 2006. Formation and possible roles of nitric oxide in plant roots. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **57**, 463–470.
- Stoimenova M, Igamberdiev AU, Gupta KJ, Hill RD.** 2007. Nitrite-driven anaerobic ATP synthesis in barley and rice root mitochondria. *Planta* **226**, 465–474.
- Tada Y, Spoel SH, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K, Mou Z, Song J, Wang C, Zuo J, Dong X.** 2008. Plant Immunity Requires Conformational Changes of NPR1 via S-Nitrosylation and Thioredoxins. *Science* **321**, 952 LP-956.
- Torres MA, Dangel JL, Jones JDG.** 2002. Arabidopsis gp91phox homologues AtrbohD and AtrbohF are required for accumulation of reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant defense response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **99**, 517–522.
- Vermeirssen V, De Clercq I, Van Parys T, Van Breusegem F, Van de Peer Y.** 2014. Arabidopsis Ensemble Reverse-Engineered Gene Regulatory Network Discloses Interconnected Transcription Factors in Oxidative Stress. *The Plant Cell* **26**, 4656–4679.
- Wang X, Hargrove MS.** 2013. Nitric oxide in plants: The roles of ascorbate and hemoglobin. *PLoS ONE* **8**.

- Wang Y, Loake GJ, Chu C.** 2013. Cross-talk of nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species in plant programmed cell death. *Frontiers in plant science* **4**, 314.
- Wrzaczek M, Brosché M, Kangasjärvi J.** 2013. ROS signaling loops — production, perception, regulation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **16**, 575–582.
- Xue Y, Liu Z, Gao X, Jin C, Wen L, Yao X, Ren J.** 2010. GPS-SNO: Computational prediction of protein s-nitrosylation sites with a modified GPS algorithm. *PLoS ONE* **5**, 1–7.
- Yu M, Lamattina L, Spoel SH, Loake GJ.** 2014. Nitric oxide function in plant biology: A redox cue in deconvolution. *New Phytologist* **202**, 1142–1156.
- Yu M, Yun BW, Spoel SH, Loake GJ.** 2012. A sleigh ride through the SNO: Regulation of plant immune function by protein S-nitrosylation. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **15**, 424–430.
- Yun B-W, Feechan A, Yin M, Yun BW, Feechan A, Yin M, Saidi NBB, Bihan TL, Yu M, Moore JW, Kang JG, Kwon E, Spoel SH, Pallas JA, Loake GJ.** 2011. S-nitrosylation of NADPH oxidase regulates cell death in plant immunity. *Nature* **3000**, 264–268.
- Yun B, Skelly MJ, Yin M, Yu M, Mun B, Lee S, Hussain A, Spoel SH, Loake GJ.** 2016. Nitric oxide and S -nitrosoglutathione function additively during plant immunity. *New Phytologist* **211**, 516–526.
- Yun BW, Spoel SH, Loake GJ.** 2012. Synthesis of and signalling by small, redox active molecules in the plant immune response. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - General Subjects* **1820**, 770–776.
- Zaffagnini M, Morisse S, Bedhomme M, Marchand CH, Festa M, Rouhier N, Lemaire SD, Trost P.** 2013. Mechanisms of nitrosylation and denitrosylation of cytoplasmic glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **288**, 22777–22789.
- Zemojtel T, Fröhlich A, Palmieri MC, Kolanczyk M, Mikula I, Wyrwicz LS, Wanker EE, Mundlos S, Vingron M, Martasek P, Durner J.** 2017. Plant nitric oxide synthase: a never-ending story? *Trends in Plant Science* **11**, 524–525.

Figure 1. Generation of S-nitrosothiols.

Nitric oxide (NO) can lose or gain an electron to form an oxidized nitrosonium cation (NO^+) or a reduced nitroxyl anion (NO^-), respectively. NO can also react with superoxide (O_2^-) to form peroxynitrite (ONOO^-). Further, NO can react with oxygen (O_2) to form higher order nitrogen oxides (NO_x), such as dinitrogen trioxide (N_2O_3). NO radicals can also react directly with transition metals in metal containing proteins to form metal-nitrosyl complexes (M–NO). Each of the described molecules can react with either thiol groups, typically as a thiolate (RS^-) or thiyl (RS^\cdot) associated with either proteins or glutathione to generate an S-nitrosothiol (SNO).

Figure 2. Schematic overview of redox-based Cysteine (Cys) modifications. Cys thiol (SH), S-nitrosothiol (SNO), sulphenic acid (SOH), disulphide (S–S), S-glutathionylation (SSG), sulphinic acid (SO_2H) and sulphonic acid formation (SO_3H). Molecules in this sequence are formed following increasing oxidation. The formation of all these function groups is thought to be reversible, except for sulphonic acid formation, which is irreversible. (Modified from Spadaro *et al.*, 2010).

Figure 3. Schematic model showing discrete and overlapping activities of NO and GSNO associated with cellular signalling. NO can target metals embedded in proteins (1), amino acid side chains (2), GSH to form GSNO, which is reversible (3) and; proteins, to form S-nitrosothiols (4). NO can also S-nitrosylate GAPDH (5). NO can be metabolized by microbial Fhb into $\text{NO}_3/\text{N}_2\text{O}$ (6). GSNO can *trans*-nitrosylate selected protein targets (7). GSH can reverse S-nitrosylation at a sub-set of proteins generating the denitrosylated protein and GSNO (8). GAPDH-SNO can function as a nitrosylase (in mammals) to S-nitrosylate target proteins (9). GSNO can S-nitrosylate GAPDH forming GAPDH-SNO and GSH (10). GSH can denitrosylate GAPDH-SNO in *Arabidopsis* to generate GAPDH and GSNO (11). Trx (Trxh5 in *Arabidopsis*) can directly and selectively denitrosylate a subset of protein-SNO (12).

